VS.

26 ///

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

ORDER

MALCOLM L. GRAY, 2:07-CV-01379-PMP-VCF

Plaintiff,

HOWARD SKOLNIK, et al.,

Defendants.

On October 25, 2011, the Court entered an Order (Doc. #52) requiring that Plaintiff Gray show cause in writing which of the Defendants in this action have in fact been properly served with Plaintiff's Complaint, alternatively to show cause why Plaintiff's Complaint should not be dismissed as to those Defendants who have not been timely served.

On December 1, 2011, Plaintiff Gray filed a "Motion to Show Cause Why Plaintiff's Complaint Should Not Be Dismissed" (Doc. #53). In his "Motion", Plaintiff Gray argues that he did effect service on specified Defendants as reflected in the Certificates of Service filed August 21, 2008 (Docs. ## 21-26, inclusive) and that said Defendants should be deemed to have been effectively served. It is unclear to the Court that appropriate service has been effected, but the Court also finds that Plaintiff has raised a colorable issue as to whether they have.

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall forthwith
2	cause notice to issue to the Attorney General for the State of Nevada and the
3	Director of the Nevada Department of Corrections for the State of Nevada to enter a
4	Special Appearance, not later than January 31, 2012, and to respond to Plaintiff
5	Gray's Motion (Doc. #53) filed December 1, 2011.
6	
7	DATED: December 15, 2011.
8	
9	
10	\bigcirc and \bigcirc \bigcirc
11	PHILIP M PRO
12	United States District Judge
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	